

Running Number	Form provider Resident Yes/No	Postcode	Proposal to build Aldi store		Additional comments Specifically on Aldi
			Support Aldi Proposal	Oppose Aldi Proposal	
1	yes	CW6	1		But - more parking is proposed is good, what about the Tesco option? , a single storey unit is good, more business for Tarporley.
2	no	CW6	1		Get it built - be better for the town as its not a village any more.
3	no	CW6	1		Need for time limit on parking in High Street as in Malpas. Now there is decrimination of parking, this is possible.
4	yes	CW6	1		Concern re High Street congestion, but still a concern without the proposal!
5	yes	CW6		1	Would increase traffic in congested High Street, dangerous access to / from High St on the bend, access should have been from the by-pass (as Co-op in Tarvin)
6	yes	CW6		1	Have concerns that it will have a major impact on the already overcrowding street and empty shops and empty shop, it will not encourage people to open shops etc. Really think it will spoil it as a lovely village that it is
7	yes	CW6		1	Dont want Aldi in Tarporley, next Tesco Asda shopping malls. Go live in a town or city if you want this and leave county people alone. Its a joke
8	yes	CW6		1	While a new store in Tarporley would be welcome, the proposed site for Aldi is not suitable. It will cause traffic problems and not overcome the parking problems in the High Street
9	yes	CW6		1	Tarporley is a village & believe it should remain a village for local businesses to thrive. Do all you can to oppose it.
10	no	CH3	1		Tarporley is growing and needs additional food retail with the new houses being built.
11	yes	CW6	1		Traffic management particularly through the High Street
12	yes	CW6	1		I would prefer access off the A49 & stop traffic through the village - Like Tarvin Co-op - that works well. Access via walkway off village.
13	yes	CW6	1		
14	yes	CW6		1	Out of keeping with the atmosphere of the village. In a dangerous location will increase parking problems.Adds no additional amenity.
15	yes	CW6		1	This would destroy what we know as our village. Supermarkets belong in towns and cities not our fantastic village.
16	yes	CW6		1	I regularly drive and walk along the high street and do not support such a suggestion due to road safety. The entrance is on a busy stretch of road near two schools and for this reason we oppose the store.
17	yes	CW6		1	I am very concerned about the access on to the high street. The proposed access could be a step too far and cause chaos on an already difficult situation.
18	no	CW6	1		This will provide a much needed quality supermarket for people who do not have a car or owing to disabilities find bus travel inconvenient. It will also provide employment for the area.
19	yes	CW6	1		Broadly in favour but am concerned about lorries on an already busy high street. Car parking is a big bonus.
20	no	CH3	1		
21	yes	CW6		1	As this is supposed to be a designated green space, will all other green spaces be vulnerable.
22	yes	CW6		1	Oppose due to the fact ti think it is far too bib and I think access from the high street will make traffic a bigger issue.
23	no	CW6			What is Tarporley going to get besides a shop. Squeeze extras out of Aldi.
24	yes	CW6	1		We would like an Aldi in Tarporley then we wouldn't have to travel to get our weekly shop. It may ease the parking and keep the traffic off the high street. There will be jobs for people
25	yes	CW6		1	Wrong location. Poor visibility for traffic access/ egress already a major traffic hazard with parking on LHS before & after the entrance.
26	yes	CW6		1	Not in keeping with landscape/village. We will lose our independent stores. Aldi is near enough in Nantwich/Chester/Northwich/Winsford.
27	yes	CW6	1		Main concern is traffic. Will it improve or hamper traffic in Tarporley.

28	yes	CW6	1		I support the proposal in principle but am concerned about the effect of traffic on the street.
29	yes	CW6	1		Very good. Sooner the better.
30	no	CW6	1		Much needed in Tarporley
31	yes	CW6	1		
32	no	CH3	1		
33	yes	CW6	1		Much thought must be given to design - village scale! Signage - not too obtrusive. Planning gain - traffic lights on Tarporley bypass
34	yes	CW6	1		Parking in the village needs resolving.
35	yes	CW6		1	
36	yes	CW6		1	Strongly against because: 1. It would change rural aspect of village. 2. Create traffic problems. 3. We are already well served by the Co-op and Spar. 4. The site is in the Conservation Area. 5. Would affect existing shops taking business. 6. Entrance in dangerous location - narrow rd, on bend, near primary school.
37	no	CW6		1	1. Very concerned about the traffic flow through the village/high street, which is already congested. 2. This proposal appears to be offering 'convenience' food shopping. The village already has 2 mini supermarkets catering for convenience shopping. 3. The proposed site will occupy an area which the village plan has designated as a protected site. These protected areas are important to maintain the 'character' of the village. This is not a suitable site for a major supermarket chain.
38	no	CW6		1	Great concerns about safety of children coming out of primary school (have son at primary school). Tarporley High Street will have to have double lines to make it safe and nothing will kill off the High Street (post office, butchers, chemist) quicker.
39	yes	CW6		1	Access onto High St not 'safe'. Village already very congested. We need a carpark not a food store. The supposed '50' new jobs created will lose 50 jobs elsewhere. Will not enhance our lovely village in any way. Why not a new medical centre instead of 'Aldi' on the site.
40	yes	CW6		1	Inappropriate site next to church and cemetery and on a bend off narrow road. Will increase congestion on already difficult stretch of road. I fear will adversely affect other shops on High Street. Not sure about Aldi's claim it will not - especially Co-op. Not an appealing visual addition beside a Conservation area.
41	yes	CW6		1	* Outside Village Development Area * Dangerous access point on inside of bend and opp. School entrance * With 100 car parking spaces Aldi expect most shoppers to drive to store * Exacerbate congestion on High St * Scale of devel. Not in character with Tarporley. Visual impact on conservation area and High St generally. * Aldi would not source local products only the cheapest deals.
42	yes	CW6		1	I think Tarporley has sufficient grocery stores with the Coop, Spar and Rose Farm Shop. The traffic in Tarporley is DIABOLICAL and another store will attract more traffic. The house builders should be supplying extra parking spaces plus assisting with upgrade of facilities like the schools and Health Centre. We are told that house building etc bring extra money from CWAC once schemes are completed. Our roads and pavements are a disgrace. This does not seem to be followed through.
43	yes	CW6		1	If the proposal could be revised with vehicle access off the bypass and car parking at the end of the site nearest to the High Street, taking the building itself further away from the churchyard, I would reconsider whether to support.

44	yes	CW6		1	*Congestion * Community car park tempter too far from High Street. * Lots more people (in cars) coming in from outskirts of Tarporley need longer than 90 mins to make use of other village facilities to stop it becoming a ghost village in some areas. * With British Legion likely to be developed where will mums/dads etc park to collect children - on new Aldi Car Park? Very dangerous crossing road unless zebra crossing or similar installed.
45	yes	CW6		1	* The wrong location for any sizeable development *Will strip the High Street of all independent food retailers. *'Our' village has a heart - this would rip that heart apart. *Congestion would increase. When I take my 'final journey' to the graveyard, the last thing I want my family to see is the back side of a supermarket; not the most pleasant sight in my experience.
46	yes	CW6		1	The village will not cope with additional traffic. There is a huge risk to our children walking to school (of accidents). The proposed new shop is on a busy bend too close to the Primary School. We want to support small local, family businesses.
47	yes	CW6		1	I think it's a ridiculous idea. There is already congestion on High St nad this would only make it worse. The local shops would suffer as competition on price not quality. More than happy with local shops and to travel to supermarket if need to.
48	yes	CW6		1	1. Tarporley is a village and should remain so - a few hundred extra houses does not equal a town! 2. There are so many empty shops and shops closing/struggling at the moment. There is no <u>evidence</u> that this will help - if anything, it will threaten local businesses.
49	yes	CW6		1	What about alternative options like Simply M&S or Waitrose, or even a bigger Co-op. If the scheme does go ahead I'd like it to be a British company. If the scheme does go ahead I'd also like to see more public car parking made available and move it to be adjacent to the High Street.
50	yes	CW6	1		Generally in support but my concern is access particularly for lorries delivering etc. Also customers arriving and leaving will make the High Street very busy. The main benefit is the car parking which the village badly needs but care must be taken not to agree to this just for the car space. Local businesses on the High Street need to be considered and the effect on them.
51	yes	CW6		1	We are alarmed at the impact that this development would have on local retailers and the local producers that supply them. We have a dairy processing business that supplies local retailers and prides itself on supplying LOCAL products to LOCAL outlets with minimal food miles.
52	yes	CW6			on the fence'. I have mixed feelings about the proposal. I don't want Tarporley to become much bigger and too many houses are being built too quickly. With the village at its present size I am not sure that a new supermarket is necessary. But if there has to be one, I would tend to favour Aldi. Its quality is good and its prices very reasonable. I do use the existing Aldi stores in Crewe and Chester (East) reasonably often and am always satisfied with the purchases.
53	no	CW6		1	I am in favour of competition, however as I have heard and my view is traffic. As you know parking in the high street is an issue, with the additional traffic, along with all the developments. Tarporley is now a town not a village.
54	yes	CW6		1	Another change to the High Street which will be another problem. We need another car park to cope with existing traffic before we need more retail premises. ONLY PEOPLE WITH VESTED INTERESTS WILL BENEFIT.

55	yes	CW6		1	I would not mind a bigger store but do have reservations about the proposed site opposite the primary school. Also how many lorries and cars would be clogging up the high street.
56	yes	CW6		1	Access to site from high street. Current volume of traffic including school buses! What about village plan? Tradition? Look of village? Why Aldi? What about small independent stores?
57	yes	CW6		1	What does the council propose to do about the increased traffic that will result in the high street, ie congestion. My proposal double yellow's all the way up the street. Therefore that will require a larger car park than the one proposed.
58	yes	CW6			Could be a problem with access of high street - location next to churchyard. Public car park at the rear of the store should be at the front - people will not walk into the village from the Community Centre now. Congestion is already a concern with school buses. Not saying it is a bad idea just worried about the access. How often would the delivery lorries be supplying the store - daily - weekly? what time?
59	yes	CW6			Neither support nor oppose at present. * Concerns about direct competition to Spar and Co-op. * Concerns re hazards caused by traffic exiting from site and turning right, where there is restricted visibility.
60	yes	CW6		1	* My main concern is the increase in traffic that will come to the village, its already bad enough with the school rush and various deliveries to other stores. * I'm against the location of it. I think building a supermarket next to the church/gravehard isn't good. * I feel that the majority of people for this will be from outside the village. * Location of the carpark isn't ideal!
61	yes	CW6		1	The building as shown will be in a direct line of sight from our garden and upstairs windows and must therefore prejudice our amenities/value. If it goes ahead it is of paramount importance to minimize the height of the building and to restrict lighting. It will draw more traffic to the village and highlights the importance of residential parking/time limits.
62	yes	CW6	1		I think this will be a good addition to Tarporley, and will add to the sustainability of the village. People who currently live outside the village find it difficult to park and therefore don't use the local shops.
63	yes	CW6		1	I think that the proposed location for the supermarket should be retained as greenfield and I would not therefore be supportive of this development as supermarket (or anything similar). The existing retail facilities in the village are sufficient for the needs of the local community and this proposal would put existing facilities including local independent shops under threat. The proposal is showing development of a nature that is not in keeping with the Neighbourhood Plan which has been developed by the community. I note that a traffic impact assessment is proposed but think the proposal will result in further detrimental impact on the amenity of the village.
64	yes	CW6		1	Additional public car parking would be useful but the extra traffic would have to be taken into account with the extra traffic along the high street. would the losing of green fields be detrimental to the village. - I expect that the current shops in Tarporley would be greatly impacted which could result in closures. - How about reducing rent/rates on individual empty shops or the high St to regenerate independant traders.

65	yes	CW6	1	It will destroy the feel of the village. It will displace local trade, cause traffic problems, spoil the look, change the style of the village. It's not about Aldi, its about any development of a supermarket inside the village. (Put it up by the north roundabout?)
66	yes	CW6	1	I think that the site chosen will cause massive problems with traffic. The entrance proposed is on a very bad bend and there is no chance of widening the road there to create a bay for turning into the entrance. The traffic on the High Street has already increased with the new developments of houses and an Aldi store can only increase that. An Aldi store will inevitably lead to smaller stores shutting.
67	yes	CW6	1	* Would kill off individual shops * Too much traffic already * Too near primary school entrance * Too near church * Once one supermarket in, who else will come to village - McDonalds???! * Most people drive, so can drive to Winsford to Aldi * Can do internet shopping
68	yes	CW6	1	* Not the need, we have shops, it would kill the high street. * Too near primary school entrance (Bird Lane) * Too much traffic to already congested High Street. * Too near the church * Greenfield site * It will lead to Costa/Macdonalds etc * It won't create jobs for locals.
69	yes	CW6	1	* Research for the local plan showed no requirement for a supermarket. * High street is known for its local feel and range of independent shops. We don't want an identikit high street. * Location suggested is part of the conservation area and will have a detrimental impact on the environment. * Traffic on high street is a major issue, supermarket will make it worse.
70	yes	CW6	1	I'm not truly against the proposal. But I think the location is utterly ridiculous. The low cost shopping will help some of the less well off who have to rely on public transport to shop in Nantwich or Chester. If the proposal does go ahead, I do believe Aldi should put more into the childrens facilities by the park. I.e. a small skate park in comparison to the facility at Christleton park.
71	yes		1	1. This supermarket will result in the death of the high street (mixed retailing) because small food shops will close. 2. Poor/dangerous access to high street (at a bend). 3. Current proposal shows public 24hr parking at bottom of site - will lead to anti-social behaviour and fear of isolated car parking. Better if public parking is next to or near the high street.. 4. Supermarket will lead to even more traffic using the high street.
72	yes	CW6	1	(possibly support - depends on entrance). 1. I think that this proposal has possibilities. 2. The extra parking would help to address the daily congestion in the High Street. 3. The main issue is the entrance into the High Street. The bypass was built in 1986 in order to address 200 HGVs an hour going through the High Street, with the schools (High, Junior) the entrance CANNOT BE AT THE PROPOSED JUNCTION.
73	no	CW6	1	We would fully support this development. This can only underpin the survival of Tarporley and would bring prosperity to other retailers. The majority of local residents do not purchase expensive clothing on a daily or weekly basis. The opening of this store could encourage more traditional village stores, ie. butchers, greengrocers etc. There is evidence of this on Chesters outskirts - Hoole etc.
74	no	CW6	1	Main concerns are 1. Impact on existing local shops especially likes of Rose Farm and Hollies and 2. Impact on traffic flows on Tarporley's main routes - High Street, Nantwich Road.
75	yes	CW6	1	It's a brilliant idea for an Aldi to come to Tarporley. I think a lot of people who can't get out and don't have a car to go shopping they will have all on their door step.
76	yes	CW6	1	I fully support the proposal as this will be a welcomed addition with extra car parking being the most important. Extra jobs will be a bonus and far better than more houses.

77	no	CW6	1		I am definitely in agreement with this build as it would create jobs and benefit the area. The traffic flow will be better as it is congested in Tarporley and traffic will be removed from High Street.
78	yes	CW6	1		Fantastic opportunity, in full support of this proposal. It will be beneficial to the local community, there is nowhere to shop in Tarporley and it would not impact negatively on high Street businesses. The planned proposal of the building is in keeping with the village. Fantastic about time Aldi come to Tarporley!
79	yes	CW6	1		Fully supportive. Required as an improvement to local amenities and shops as offers increased jobs and variety for grocery shopping.
80	no	CW6	1		Good site. Would bring more to ? Does not effect local residents ie Hall Hospital (?) Crown. Many businesses in that area.
81	yes	CW6	1		More power to their elbow! I have previous experience of a supermarket coming to the town centre of a similar profile town to Tarporley, and I must say that the effect was a major improvement to the vitality of the town. The supermarket attracted people who also used the town's other services.
82	no	CW6	1		We own house in Tarporley. Great, we need more parking spaces for village. I can never get space to park! All shops would benefit if we have more parking. I can see lots more houses in future being built.
83	no	CH3	1		Good proposal in principle and complements existing facilities and provides much needed parking. Saves car-miles driving to Sainsburys. The impact on the church and churchyard <u>must</u> be addressed and minimised. Also the impact on the view from the High Street. Has shown this is out of character with the rest of the High Street.
84	yes	CW6	1		(support with modification) * Put public parking at High St end of site and Aldi store at West end. *Create PROW to Birch Heath *Surface PROW beside church yard *Open up views to Peckforton/Beeston by completely removing wall and hedge. *Provide 100+ parking for community use.
85	yes	CW6	1		This proposal will bring a much needed low cost option to our community on land that is currently not used. It will also bring employment opportunities which are scarce in our local area.
86	no	CW6	1		
87	yes	CW6		1	We already have sufficient supermarkets in Tarporley. Traffic is a real problem already - and it is not appropriate for this area.
88	no	CW6		1	This will be a disaster from a traffic point of view and will destroy the traditional retail businesses in the high street
89	yes	CW6		1	The position of the entrance proposed will be opposite where children enter and exit the primary school, delivery lorries and extra traffic will make this very dangerous. The extra traffic coming to the store will make the already congested high street even worse. The allocated parking spaces will not be enough to take all from the new housing alone.
90	no	CW6		1	The store will move shoppers rather than add. The design is poor (standard) and doesn't complement the village. The access to the road is in a dangerous spot on the road.
91	yes	CW6		1	* I work in the office next door and the bend the proposed site is on is difficult enough to get out on without this store. * The high street is also extremely busy at times and further traffic will only make this worse. * An Aldi in a location not on the high street would be better than the proposed location. * I'm not against Aldi, we shop there, but that location is damaging to the current high street and its shops and residents.
92	yes	CW6		1	* Build on in Whitchurch instead. * If you insist on it don't put it on the worst bend in the village, make the entrance off the main road. The high street is busy enough without added traffic and lorries. * Don't let it ruin our picturesque village!

93	yes	CW6		1	* Pollution * Congested * Quality of staff/service * Aldi work ethic and lack of principle/morals/pay * Lack of food quality * Deliveries (too many) * Far too big for the area
94	yes	CW6		1	The traffic in the <u>village</u> (not a town) is already bad and the High Street is blocked many times. What will it be like with a supermarket?? What will happen to the Co-op, Spar, butchers? It will kill the High Street.
95	yes	CW6		1	Out of character with village. On dangerous corner near to primary school. Co-op and Spar are unlikely to survive. Why have it in High Street? There must be other sites around Tarporley where there would be less impact. A disastrous proposal for Tarporley.
96	yes	CW6		1	1. Never shop in Aldi. 2. Don't want fields/precious green belt, built on. 3. Aldi giving back to local community - planning gain to the village - limited to 70 car parking spaces. What else will they do? Reduce parking on the High St? Yellow lines down one side to aid movement of traffic - liaison with Council/Planning/Highways Agency.
97	yes	CW6		1	It's the worst location imaginable. It's not necessary. It would damage the present High St. Many such stores in all directions. Since the construction of the bypass, Tarporley has undergone much development and has improved in many respects. But we have now reached tipping point. More development in general, but this in particular, will ruin our <u>VILLAGE</u> .
98	yes	CW6		1	Tarporley is not a town, it's a village with an extremely beautiful and historic High St. The proposed site is on a bad bend, between a historic Manor House and the church. The people who will be drawn into the village will just add to congestion and in my opinion close local businesses rather than help them. Aldi is not a 'one stop shop' supermarket.
99	yes	CW6		1	I don't want all the traffic it's too congested as it is. Why not put it in Tattenhall or at the end of the village. This is a village not a town. Putting a supermarket changes everything - another green space gone.
100	yes	CW6		1	We are a village. Transport problems.
101	yes	CW6		1	WRONG! WRONG! WRONG! This will ruin the village. The parking is ridiculous and will not improve the current situation. It will cause a hellish amount of extra traffic in an already packed high street, which is only going to get worse as all those new houses are built too. NO NO NO NO NO NO !!
102	no	CW6		1	Community parking could be extended from 70 to 100 spaces but needs to be closer to High Street or it will not get used or the Aldi customer spaces will get used instead. Also store could easily move further away from the \high Street without losing visibility as it targets a local community who will know where it is. Design needs to incorporate materials complimentary to local properties. Parking proposals need to be coordinated with improvements to high street parking. Is Aldi the right store to serve the local demographic?
103	yes	CW6		1	We oppose this because: 1. It sets a precedent and paves the way for more development on the fields around Tarporley. 2. The infrastructure of the village won't cope with the increased traffic + 4 deliveries per day (overflow car-park won't solve this). 3. Local independent traders will close.
104	yes	CW6		1	The traffic problems are well-documented - how would more traffic be beneficial to the village or local businesses? Hazardous for crossing the road already at that location. There are already so many constraints of what can be done in the village's conservation areas -how does this square with an Aldi store?

105	yes	CW6		1	Very unhappy regarding traffic issues, I don't feel that the location is suitable bearing in mind the numbers of cars expected + deliveries. Opening time 8am - 10pm excessive. People travelling into Tarporley to shop in Aldi will add to the chaos on the high street - once they have shopped they will leave without spending in Tarporley shops!
106	yes	CW6		1	Worried about further congestion on what is already a busy high street. Store is too big, don't like the 'standard' approach. Also development is on a bend so concerned about vision splays. Delivery vehicles will have difficulties with access given current parking habits of visitors and residents. I don't believe the proposed community parking will make the difference that is needed.
107	yes	CW6		1	I have no problem with Aldi stores and regularly use the one in Winsford but hate the suggested site of the new Aldi as it's so close to our beautiful old high street. If a new Aldi has to be built then why not out at Wardle near the Boughey company on the brown site there. I'm worried about the increase in traffic on our already congested high street and also worry that shops like the Co-op, butchers and bakers may then close.
108	yes	CW6		1	The proposals jeopardise the village economy. Protection of the high street should be our focus. The congestion of the high street is a major concern. The site is opposite a school access so safety is a major concern. I am against a superstore in the village and not just Aldi.
109	yes	CW6		1	* Congestion issues on high Street. * Loss of green areas on high street. * Community parking too far from centre. * Area well served by existing Aldi stores. * Threat to independent shops in village. * Access via bypass would lessen my opposition.
110	yes	CW6		1	It will not complement Co-op and Spar, it will take their business away. It will spoil one of the only remaining rural views off the High Street. It will make traffic in the High Street even busier.
111	yes	CW6	1		I think it would be a fantastic idea. We need an Aldi in Tarporley. It will benefit the community rather than taking away. There is nowhere to shop within 10 miles. The car park would be a benefit straight away as more parking spaces are needed.
112	yes	CW6	1		I think the proposed store would benefit the village. Visitors to the store would also support local shops too. Provision of car parking spaces of great advantage. Aldi's own brands would not affect local grocery stores selling branded goods. Good competition! Jobs for locals of great benefit.
113	yes	CW6	1		Maybe you could persuade them to gift some land for allotments???
114	yes	CW6	1		High Street speed limit reduced to 20mph, speed bumps either side of junction. High Street parking reduced to 1 side only and 2 hour limit. Provide a bus service from Bunbury through Tarporley to Kelsall. Bring it on. Born and bred in the village, sorry, been a town for many years.
115	yes	CW6	1		1. Takes the pressure off the allotments and bowling green. 2. Car parking for the church. 3. Compliments what the High Street currently offers. 4. Significant community benefit. The type of development that the village should engage with. 5. Local low skill jobs. 6. Car parking issues addressed as sought by N.Plan.
116			1		Could the car park be larger?
117	yes	CW6		1	The creation of a large supermarket in Tarporley is unnecessary and undesirable. It will kill existing established businesses, which themselves blend well into the size and scale and character of village life. It will cause more traffic congestion in a village already clogged with traffic. Those who wish to shop in a large supermarket (Aldi or others) can go to a range of surrounding towns.
118	yes	CW6		1	Would support if the following is agreed: Congestion would be worse than it already is. Primary School on Park Road with children walking to and from. I am a pensioner, resident on the High Street and am concerned I will have nowhere to park on the road. Lorries and buses to use the bypass and not the high street.

119	yes	CW6		1	The road is already far too busy and access would be crazy where planned. Can the village take the number of extra people who would come to shop in Tarporley. Would be ok on the outskirts or if there was a way of accessing the site from the bypass.
120	yes	CW6		1	The entrance is the most important problem to solve. Mothers with prams, pushchairs and accompanying young children will now be at risk from traffic coming down the high street and turning right. County Council roads and Highway must be consulted on this problem as mothers with prams, pushchairs and small children running loose will be in danger.
121	yes	CW6		1	Detrimental to cillage character. No need - other supermarkets within the village and easy travelling distance. Location next to church and graveyard is insensitive. Will affect local residents during building work and during deliveries. Will affect (maybe close down) local businesses. Community parking area which is proposed is too far from road . Concerned about notice given to residents re - public meeting (some people are probably not aware).
122	yes	CW6		1	I support an Aldi in Tarporley however I OPPOSE the proposed location. The High Street is already heavily congested and unsafe at times. The high street could not cope with additional vehicles. The car park will not be used by villagers unless going to Aldi as the facilities at the legion and car park are often free! Access off bypass - pedestrian only via High St, like Co-op Tarvin! Note: I am very suspicious of Aldi requesting support/opposition from residents from Kelsall, Tarvin etc. Surely to get their numbers up!! Kelsall/Tarvin residents don't care about our High St/traffic.
123	yes	CW6		1	Totally unsuitable for a VILLAGE location - Better situated in towns - especially as Tarporley is a conservation area. The entrance/exit proposed leads onto a very narrow part of the road on a bend. The development will encroach onto farmland - the only benefit to the village which is being offered is much needed additional car parking. Other than that it has no merit.
124	yes	CW6		1	The site will ultimately be built upon, and a shop would be beneficial on many levels. <u>However</u> , giving access from the High Street will make the existing volume and flow of traffic <u>MUCH</u> worse. If access was somehow to not be via the High Street, it would be a benefit. Parish Council - please step in.
125	yes	CW6		1	* Tarporley High St far too busy to accommodate this store. * Why do Kelsall residents have a consultation - is it to make the 30% 'for votes'? *We do not need a supermarket just to gain a car park!! NO TO ALDI IN THIS VILLAGE !
126	yes	CW6		1	This is a totally inappropriate use of 'green space' within the village curtilage. There are adequate retail facilities currently available already, both within and nearby the village. The likelihood of increased traffic issues is almost unthinkable (irrespective of the 'pretence' of creating community parking spaces. Against the Neighbourhood Plan and the majority of villagers.
127	yes	CW6		1	Creating a junction, on a BEND, on to a HIGH STREET that can barely cope with the existing volume of traffic is foolish and dangerous. If there is need for another store it should be on the outskirts of the village. The village cannot cope with more commercial traffic on the High Street.
128	yes	CW6		1	The traffic going through the village at present is quite enough and causes quite a lot of disruption at certain times ie. At school start and leaving times plus buses and staff cars. With all the proposed new houses bringing many more people and cars etc there will be much more traffic disruption and you will be very lucky to park on High Street. Should be located a bit further out of Tarporley.

129	yes	CW6	1	To make Aldi viable they will encourage more traffic to Tarporley which will increase traffic congestion. The fact that there will be a car park is being emphasised but this will be used by cars from outside the village to a great extent and will not help local people. It could affect the viability of small businesses on the High Street which are used by elderly people who do not have cars. A development on the outskirts of the village by Waitrose at The Red Fox is rumoured and this would be sensible development with no impact on traffic congestion. A time limit on parking on the High St would help with access to local shops.
130	yes	CW6	1	Location off high street - poor access - increased traffic. Aldi reps advised store viability/business case based on about 15,000 households/users - this will massively impact traffic far greater than added to car park spaces - Aldi + developer also advised Cheshire West Council are encouraging this site (against Parish/NP Policy).
131	yes	CW6	1	Oppose position of proposed store. The proposed site is unsuitable as it is on a dangerous bend on the high street and will create even more chaos to a congested street. It would be more sensible/acceptable if it was sighted on the edge of Tarporley - there are plenty of sites on the edge of town. It would also take custom away from local shops.
132	yes	CW6	1	Not opposed to a small supermarket in the right position but this is not a good place. Location is poor and access will be dangerous. Design is poor with little effort to blend in with Tarporley architecture. Worries about traffic,
133	yes	CW6	1	Tarporley village cannot cope with the extra influx of cars, traffic, delivery lorries. The site is in totally the wrong place - access is opposite the primary school! We do not need a supermarket, we have the Co-op, Spar and Rose Farm - Winsford and Chester are not far for a 'big' shop.
134	yes	CW6	1	Tarporley is growing too quickly and turning into a town. It should remain as a village and be proud of being a rural village. The high street and traffic flow desperately needs to be addressed regardless of Aldi coming or not. Lights need installing at the bottom of Nantwich Road so school buses can go that way and not up the street.
135	yes	CW6	1	I am a resident of Tarporley and was born in the village. However I am not opposed to change but I think there is no need for an Aldi, as this can be only detrimental to our village. We have 5 empty shops already and endless traffic problems. We need to support the shops we already have. Can we cope with extra traffic?
136	no	CW6	1	1. Would improve parking for out of village shoppers of which I am one. 2. They are advocating that the Aldi parking spaces could be used by people using the village without a necessity to shop in Aldi - they need to be held to this as the community space could be taken by people working in the village. 3. They are advocating CCTV in <u>all</u> the car parks this would be to everyones advantage. 4. Would the car parking be available out of trading hours, of the Aldi store? 5. Permission needs to be dependent on strict delivery times to avoid congestion in the high street. We do not want another debacle like the Co-op delivery scheme. 6. There is a need to look at the easterly access from the bypass - traffic lights or a roundabout are well overdue - the school buses should be forced to go out that way.
137	yes	CW6	1	The additional traffic flow (private and commercial) will exacerbate the already bad situation. The site entrance is poorly sited on the bend on the high st. It will devastate local businesses and lead to job losses. I can reach any one of 3 Aldi stores within 20 minutes.

138	yes	CW6		1	<p>1. Congestion increase of the High St from 8am-8pm - shoppers only spend 23 minutes on average in store - and 4 deliveries per day on large articulated lorries. Bypass was built to relieve this problem. 2. Impact on local shops will result in closures and job losses. People will shop at Aldi for cheap goods. 3. Neston Hig St has suffered badly as a result of Sainsbury and Aldi. This was supported by Ellesmere Port and Neston as a means to rejuvenate its High Street. Thus having a detrimental effect. 4. The offer by Aldi to withdraw the proposal at 30% of the 3,000 population are against the proposal 'seems' generous. However, does this figure include children and from what area around Tarporley are they drawn? Perhaps this should be based on households. Will the 'ballot' be open to scrutiny? 5. Provision of public car parking seems a very attractive offer. However, should it result in a detrimental impact on the High Street, there will be no going back. 6. Traffic flows used by the developers at the exhibition were, I think for 2011. These have been increased in recent years as residential developments in the village has increased. Is there a more recent Traffic Census?</p>
139	yes	CW6		1	<p>It will destroy the High Street affecting the butchers, bakers and the ethical Co-op. It will encourage significantly more traffic through an already congested High Street. A dangerous corner where children walk up to school. There are already 3 Aldi's in driving distance, Chester, Winsford, Nantwich. Aldi is not a 1 stop shop so would still need to go elsewhere.</p>
140	yes	CW6		1	<p>Access a concern due to bend on High St at that point + directly opposite Bird Lane and entrance to primary school. Will there be a zebra crossing. In order to have decent viewpoint exiting Aldi, will parking be reduced on High St outside optician, gallery etc? We live in a 'village' - let's keep it a 'village'. I shop at Aldi but would rather travel further to shop in order to preserve our village!</p>
141	yes	CW6		1	<p>Concern - what exactly will they sell, what % of store will sell things eg. bread/veg/meat. What % will be special buys? What classification will this store be in the Aldi model. This will determine the stock. Concerned about access additional traffic and the effect to village shops already here. Please don't let the car parking spaces be the reason for this going ahead.</p>
142	yes	CW6		1	<p>Totally inappropriate for a village the size of Tarporley, and would only add more traffic to an already congested area. Also, am reliably informed that if Asda, Lidl, Aldi or Morrisons move into an area it has an adverse effect on property price. I prefer to shop locally, or at least in a British owned store where profits stay in the UK.</p>
143	no	CW6		1	<p>Number of vehicles to supply the premises - they state that evening delivery from Aldi distributors will be one lorry? What about fresh produce, milk etc. The sales area of the Tarporley project is 20% larger than the Nantwich unit - Population differences. Access/exit from the site - corner problem - could be traffic build up turning right into Aldi - build up of traffic through the village - traffic exiting the site turning right. Introduction of traffic lights will create additional traffic problem for catchment area of 4 miles radius - 10,000 population - if the proposed £4 million Aldi is to be sustainable, it will require 30% of the trade to be durable(?)</p>

144	yes	CW6		1	This is a dreadful proposal and will affect the village in a negative way. I am sure it will assist in the closure of existing food shops/outlets. The very essence of the village will be changed and it will become yet another bland town with the whole character changing for the worse. There are enough of these Aldi stores that residents can access without this one. Once started the building area will be expanded and more outlets will 'tag' on to the site and what was a beautiful and rural site will be gone to yet another money grabbing, profit ridden company, there are enough shops within 10 mile radius that people can get to without ruining this village! Perhaps work out how to let the existing 4 empty shops to open and trade.
145	yes	CW6		1	I live on Nantwich Road and am concerned about delivery lorries and volume of traffic going past my house. Volume of traffic will definitely increase. Serious concern about how an already congested High St will cope. Concerned that non-Tarporley residents will be in favour of Aldi because it won't affect their quality of life. My son is in the church yard and I do not want my visits there disturbed by noise and deliveries from Aldi - also how will it affect expansion of church yard?
146	no	CW6		1	I think this development would bring far more disruption to the village than advantages. Seventy more parking spaces for the community would soon be swallowed up by the extra cars the store would bring in. I'm worried too, about disruption during the construction.
147	no	CW6		1	Oppose on the grounds of the impact of this on the village, especially on traffic flow. The additional car park is a very attractive proposition, but it's size is not big enough. I don't think the range of products offered by Aldi justifies a supermarket in the village. I'm conscious however, that young families/people on low incomes would benefit from a discount store.
148	yes	CW6		1	My main concern is the traffic situation - at present it takes no time for a log-jam situation to develop (delivery lorries, school buses etc). A large number of extra vehicles would come in from surrounding villages. There is no room for road widening at the entrance site - I anticipate a nightmare situation - both during construction and afterwards. I am not sure if people coming in to shop at Aldi (from surrounding villages) will then visit the High St shops. It is quite a walk from the proposed community car park.
149	no	CW6		1	Why not site any development at either end of the bypass? More space; easier access from existing roads and thus from those travelling into Tarporley; less additional traffic in already crowded village streets. If the plan goes ahead - explore possibilities for access from Bypass. I can imagine Tarporley ending up as a row of charity chops as has happened in other villages targetted by supermarkets.
150	yes	CW6		1	Proposal would pull trade from existing shops. Car park for community would not be used as too far from High Street. 7 day trading is not necessary. Concerned about additional noise from traffic, air conditioning and delivery vehicles. Proposed development is not in keeping with the rest of the village. Giving a few days notice of a public exhibition and of a 4 hour duration is too restrictive for many people to attend. Many people work on a Monday and some are unlikely to be able to attend.
151	yes	CW6		1	Wrong position in village - next to Church, graveyard, Manor House. Would bring a lot of extra traffic to village - lorries and passing 'shoppers' - traffic would have to use High Street which is already busy. Proposed designs not in keeping with rest of Tarporley. Loss of green area in centre of village. Widening of road at proposed site would 'spoil' the look of village.
152	no	CW6		1	Why does the community car park have to be at the back? Will make the traffic problems worse. More likely to close other shops than help.

153	yes	CW6		1	I have been shopping at Aldi long before they were popular but this site is wrong, with regard to the access onto an already congested High Street and opposite a school!
154	yes	CW6		1	Store a reserved yes. In the heart of the village NO! These stores should be on the outskirts by the new developments. Not adjoining the church. Increasing car parking equals increased traffic - the high st isn't coping now.
155	yes	CW6		1	1-4 artic. Deliveries per day plus all the additional traffic generated will make the High St even more chaotic for road users. Also I expect the Co-op will have to close with resulting job losses.
156	no	CW6		1	1. Inappropriate for Tarporley. 2. Will take business from current stores. 3. Will compound traffic problem. 4. Aldi in Nantwich offers low quality products poorly presented. 5. A recent TV programme showed that Aldi presently offer produce past their sell-by-date.
157	yes	CW6		1	Aldi is not in keeping with the other independent shops in the village. Waitrose is more suitable. Other shops Co-op and bakery, butcher will close. Serious concerns about traffic entering and exiting onto High St.
158	no	CW6		1	Concerns over additional 5 HGV per day and access issues that would cause. + additional customer base. Also effect on other local communities. Roughly I imagine the traffic coming from new customers would raise cars coming through to 1000 per day.
159	no	CW6	1		Subject to communal car park being nearer the High St and the store moved further down. OAPs do not want to walk far! Obviously Aldi wants everyone to walk past their store!
160	yes	CW6		1	1. Not needed to fulfil villagers shopping needs. 2. would cause increased traffic congestion on an already dangerous high street. 3. Would be detrimental to existing businesses. 4. Proposed site is supposed to be protected green space.
161	yes	CW6		1	A very ill considered project, in very many ways, and not really needed. The thought of more large articulated wagons on the crowded streets is appalling.
162	yes	cw6		1	Tarporley doesn't need Aldi, protect green spaces, won't use the store. High Street can't cope with the extra traffic and deliveries
163	yes	cw6		1	Further traffic congestion. Loss of Co-op & Spar possible
164	yes	cw6		1	Will create more traffic problems
165	yes	cw6		1	Traffic
166	yes	cw6		1	I disagree with the proposals after seeing the plans etc. re traffic & demise of certain local traders. Not a good move!
167	yes	cw6		1	Totally inappropriate for Tarporley. Will hurt all local businesses. will make traffic problems worse
168	yes	cw6		1	Wrong location (between 2 bottlenecks) and wrong premise to justify the proposal
169	no	cw6		1	I would oppose this development as I think it is inappropriate for the village of tarporley. It is too big and will cause more traffic congestion
170	yes	cw6		1	It would affect local businesses negatively. I oppose the use of green space. The additional traffic would put a strain on the High Street.
171	yes	cw6		1	I strongly oppose the idea of an Aldi store. I think local businesses will suffer. Traffic, which is already an issue, will get worse.
172	yes	cw6		1	No HGV on the high street
173	yes	cw6		1	Concerned about the impact on existing shops. Very concerned about the entrance/exit because of the traffic already in Tarporley.
174	yes	cw6		1	Already have 2 similar shops - Coop & Spar Why build on an empty field when there are empty shops.
175	yes	cw6		1	We do not need another supermarket in Tarporley Please do not destroy what is left of our fields & wildlife.
176	yes	cw6		1	An Aldi shop would kill the Spar & Coop, also Rose farm. We are a village and do not need a large supermarket. The traffic on and off the High Street would be disastrous.
177	yes	cw6		1	Tarporley doesn't need an additional budget supermarket. Council to build on car park.
178	yes	cw6		1	We've got Coop and Spar and a butcher, why do we need another supermarket? We will have a major traffic issue which is already very bad at the moment.

179	yes	cw6		1	I would like a pound world because you would be able to get everything for a pound. A Aldi would be a usual shop but pound world is an unusual shop and an unusual shop would be quite good. (age 7)
180	yes	cw6		1	Food shops on the High Street will be adversely affected. Identified green spaces must be protected otherwise the village character will be destroyed.
181	yes	cw6		1	Not in keeping with the village High Street. The entrance is on a dangerous bend. The traffic will be awful but probably of little benefit to other shop owners.
182	yes	cw6		1	Totally inappropriate for a village setting. The area is already spoilt with the housing developments, in particular the Brook Road development.
183	yes	cw6		1	Not Suited to style of Village. Rediculous access increasing traffic flow down High Street. Does not reflect Georgian Style. Noise, Lighting impact. Protected open space. Conservation area. Impact on me personally - will be at the bottom of my garden (6ft).
184	yes	cw6		1	I strongly oppose the plan for Aldi just off the High Street. It would be a disaster for traffic and on a dangerous corner. The Spar and Coop are quite enough.
185	yes	cw6		1	Would mean massive influx of people. Road access point poor - opposite Primary School. Will not solve any parking problems. There are already Aldi stores in every nearby town. (Winsford, Chester, Nantwich) Traffic already a problem. Green field site should not be built on.
186	yes	cw6		1	Do we need it on the High Street? Why not on the outskirts of Tarporley. Access being placed on a bad bend already. Will it not de-value house prices?
187	yes	cw6		1	D not agree at all. Tarporley is a village not a town. Goes against the village plan. Tarporley needs more infrastructure, i.e. schools, doctors.
188	yes	cw6		1	Extra traffic will be a problem. Access to the High Street will be a problem. Worry over the effect on other shops in the village. 4 large lorries a day not good on our small roads.
189	yes	cw6		1	The high street is already too busy. There is no way it could cope with more traffic, especially at times when the school busses are using the High Street.
190	yes	cw6		1	I know they are providing car parking facilities but a major construction like this is not needed. The High Street is already a hazard, congested and locked at times.
191	no	cw6		1	Could adversely affect other businesses in local village. Will attract too much traffic into village. Concern about the construction period and how all the lorries and diggers will access the site.
192	yes	CW6		1	Wrong location close to primary access & old peoples alms houses. Generate traffic until 10pm & delivery lorries. Plenty of aldi's in area will cause redundancies from other shops. Find other way to get 70 space car park, 'monstrosity'.
193	yes	CW6			Want to see properly quantified estimates of additional vehicle movements. Will result in at least one supermarket on high street closing which is of concern but maybe offset by increased footfall on High Street.
194	yes	CW6			Competition would be good for High Street but not overwhelming comp. Rhode Street site would be better.
195	yes	CW6		1	Oppose proposed central location. 1) Traffic already problem 2) cut price retailer not merit prime location next to St Helen's (C15th) & Grade II listed Lychgate (C13th) 3) should be sited on edge of village but not at prominent village entrance. 4) Screening not adequate.
196	yes	CW6	1		good idea
197	No	CW6	1		Support as long as not visible from High Street, entrance off by-pass would be better as proposed entrance is quite dangerous.
198	No	CH3	1		Additional parking essential permission should not be considered without the parking.
199	yes	CW6	1		Good for jobs, extra parking and more trade for shops. Please park on dbi yellows and zigzags anyway
200	yes	CW6	1		Hope PC supports, more jobs, more parking, more choice, bring customers into Tarp. Need public parking nearer road
201	yes	CW6	1		Aldi quality & value

202	yes	CW6	1	Conditional support, huge concerns about traffic, require min 250 free parking spaces, site fundamentally compromised due to traffic load?
203	yes	CW6		Very worried about extra traffic and access otherwise OK
204	yes	CW6	1	golden chance to tackle traffic congestion, need 140 spaces not 70
205	yes	CW6	1	if parking & traffic flows address is a 'good thing', will support level of development now and future.
206	yes	CW6	1	Yes bring it on
207	yes	CW6	1	Need supermarket like Aldi, only concern delivery truck causing problems like Co-op on High Street.
208	No	CW6	1	Make blend in see Knutsford, with 170 spaces to sort out High Street, Tarp service centre for Utkinton
209	No	CW6	1	rural employment welcomed, can local shops support increased population? Parking at entrance may be more acceptable.
210	yes	CW6	1	good selection of wines, oatcakes & coffee
211	No	CW6	1	Conditional support, decline footfall & spend of High Street due to lack of car parking, Take all day parking of High street (often those employed on High Street) if not will lose Co op, off license, post office etc
212	yes	CW6	1	new houses mean new shops are a must, store like this will keep delivery vehicles of High Street.
213	No	CW6	1	
214	yes	CW6	1	very short of parking, still need more off road parking all shops would benefit.
215	No	CW6	1	Support in principle, congestion at peak times eg school times High Street impossibly congested, access on bend little visibility need safe pedestrian crossing for pedestrians, also adequate car park lighting but not obtrusive/24hour. No loud shop sign.
216	No	CW6	1	concerns about High Street & congestion but car park is a bonus
217	No	CW6	1	If it take Aldi to provide adequate parking so be it
218	No	CW6	1	Cure traffic problems now, no full day parking 1-2 hours straight away, ask school buses to come in via by pass and ask school to fill 2 buses rather 4 empty ones. shame to lose green space
219	yes	CW6	1	No problem with store, access is an issue, needs dbl yellows, parking meters or residential parking areas. No one wants to walk.
220	yes	CW6	1	Partial support, extra jobs, change proposed access on bad bend bring access of bypass. Please have flashing 30mph signs as too much speeding
221	yes	CW6	1	support at moment, car park more long stay and nearer High Street, Aldi better than other supermarkets as not impinge on Co op or Spar
222	yes	CW6	1	Aldi especially good idea due to products sold, extra car parking is essential, how much impact on local traders, probably not much maybe Co op and Spar affected.
223	yes	CW6	1	Support if move public car park closer to centre be solved by compulsory purchase of land, Council should have plenty of money thr. Rates see little spent on village.
224	yes	CW6	1	support if traffic problems addressed, small supermarket inevitable would support on edge of village Time limited parking on High Street irrespective of Aldi, parking on one side of street and enforcement
225	yes	CW6	1	support with reservations - in 2 minds, not want any more building of any kind in Tarp. Know is unrealistic as plans go is well thought out and sympathetic if goes ahead must be dbl yellow lines on High Street.
226	yes	CW6		Any supermarket be a benefit. Why Aldi? Highest bidder should get store and money to Tarp. Charities
227	yes	CW6	1	Need competitive supermarket, village ruined already by 5 bed executive houses may as well have positive use of land
228	yes	CW6	1	good footfall for village, my business on High Street will benefit. High Street struggling, extra car parking must help.
229	yes	CW6	1	modest supermarket good idea, no agree with site due to increased traffic, access community car park should be closer to the front.
230	yes	CW6	1	All school buses go out via by-pass & farm tractors should go through village.
231	yes	CW6	1	Basically in favour as long as High Street traffic is managed (dbl yellow lines).

232	yes	CW6		1	Not needed, size compared to existing, delivery & vehicle movements, jobs welcome but jobs will be lost as result, car park too far from other businesses, loss of green open, could result in closure of other shops including petrol station if Spar impacted also shops in neighbouring villages. (Detailed Response)
233	yes	CW6		1	Turn High Street to ghost town except few dress shops, people not bother to walk from car park, parking problem exaggerated, would not 'hike' from proposed car park, no need can use existing stores or pop to stores further away, will lead to demise of cafes etc who rely on passing trade, congestion and disruption of delivery trucks. (Detailed Response)
234	yes	CW6		1	Create a 'traffic hell', prefer supermarket to be more central behind Rising Sun with lots of parking to serve new school, new health centre, larger store inevitable due to population rise. (Detailed Response) Suggests relief road
235	Yes	CW6		1	Not needed, loss of green space, size, traffic inc delivery movements, people do not use current long stay car parks unlikely to use this one, likely closure of other village stores inc. DIY, create jobs but also result in job losses.
236	yes	CW6		1	adversely effect businesses on High Street and surrounding area, access too close to primary access point, too close to Church & Grave yard highly sensitive areas of village, increase congestion, also consultation poorly advertised, closed too early, why not held on a Saturday.